SEEL placement panel was held on Thursdayune 202 at UCL. The panel was chaired by the London PEP representative on the SEEL Consortium Executive Committee (SCEC). The PEPs . In addition, as with previous yeathe panel was representing d bythe Programme Director of the University of Southampton, who attends in her role as

eginning of the day, the chair identified the key principles for placing trainees. The first task ee exceptional consideration and place those TEPs who need the family or medical tanceso be taken into considerationThe next step was to place the year 3 TEPs, particulry ho had got the 5

banker'

th preference at the previous years placement panel (June 2022). theen tasks was to places many TEPs as possible in their highest preferences (ensuring that all TEPs are allocated a placement from their preference list). The panel were reminded to try to hold the wellbeing of every TEP in mind, which may mean placing TEPs in a slightly lower preference to try to minimise the number of TEPs receiving the preference. Panel members were also provided with a list of Services who did not have their placements filled last spealingt if possible, they couldbe prioritised this year.

Aswith previous years, the chair had prepared an initial first dirent placing TEP\$ provide some quidance to the panel and also to identify in advance where possible challenges may arise.

This year there were cornected or SEEL TERosbe considered These were made up of: circumstanceeither medical condition or familizircumstance to be taken into consideration his wasan increase from last yearhere there were 21 exceptional considerations out of a group of 99)

When the Panel conclude 3.3% of TEPs were placed in their 1

st, 2nd or 3rd placement choice.

16.7% of TEPs were placed in their fourth or fifth choice.

Following the conclusion of the panel, appeals from 2 TEPs were successiful, see teallocated placementsoutside of their 5preferences

In total 109 Local Authority bursaries were offered before the panel. Due to exceptional circumstances, on theady of the panel it was necessary to seek an additional bursary from a Service in the South Eastern region. By the end of the placement panel meeting 105 bursaries were filled. However, this included allocations to NORMIDSW, TWERESON receiving the offedecided to not accept the SEEL placement. Texisto additional SEE ursaries not being filled.

recommendations to change the process for the following year. The key issue**s**dodidered by the task and finish group are:

- x To review the citeria and process for agreeing exceptional considerations.
- x To review the key principles of the Placement panel (balancing needs of TEPs and those of Servicesincluding degree of flexibility permitted especially around 5th choices
- x To consider if there is need to change the current process of requiring The Thing a placement in London to have 2 inner London two outer London and one out of London placement.
- x To review the process for offering placements to trainees registered at NORSWID consortiumTraining Programmes.
- x To darify the criteria for appeals and the management of the appeals process

Any agreed changes to the process as a result of decisions from the task and finish grobep will highlighted on the SEEL website.

I would like to thanleachpanelmember for their contribution, and particularly their determination to get the best possible outcome for this cohort of TEPS and for participating LAs. It is a long day that requires intense and sustained concentration by all members. Iniaddltwould like to extend my thanks tolvona Klemensova and the team at UCL who provided excellent paperwork and invaluable administrative support

Finally, he panel is very appreciative to RIEPs from Local Authorities ho